## **Visitor-oriented Guide Maps (FINAL)**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700427	
----------------------	-----------	--------------	--

#### A. Statement of Activity or Product

Regional Office staff, forest staff, and contractors will perform activities in support of the drafting, editing, preparing, and printing of visitor-oriented motor vehicle guide maps. These maps will enable motor vehicle users to navigate the designated system of roads and motorized trails on various National Forests. The maps will contain several visitor-friendly features that are not included in Motor Vehicle Use Maps (MVUMs), which are the legal enforcement documents that define designated road and trail systems pursuant to 36 C.F.R. 212.51. These added features include a plastic material that is both tear-proof and water-proof, color representations of both topography and recreation opportunities, and educational/safety information. The maps' superior durability, readability, and content increase the likelihood that they will be widely read and frequently consulted by motorized recreationists.

The Forest Service views visitor-oriented maps as a critical component of the route designation implementation process. The maps will be distributed through a variety of on-the-ground methods, including kiosks, visitor centers, and field personnel. Wide distribution of the maps will enhance the Forest Service's operational programs for visitor education, environmental impact reduction, and law enforcement.

Funding for this project will accomplish several of the one-time expenses (fixed costs) that are inherent in map creation, such as layout and design. The project will also include the printing of one large batch of maps, which will be distributed free of charge to the public. Once this initial batch is fully exhausted, the visitor-friendly maps will become managed under the Forest Service's Map Sales Program to work toward financial sustainability.

#### B. Relation of Proposed Project to OHV Recreation

Visitor-oriented maps will enable motor vehicle recreationists to navigate the designated system of roads and motorized trails on various National Forests. The maps will display designated motorized road and trail systems in an easy-to-read format. In addition, the maps will highlight recreation opportunities and facilities that are unique to each particular forest, including scenic vista points as well as areas that are appropriate for camping, hiking, and rock climbing uses. By complementing existing signage and MVUMs, the visitor-oriented maps will promote responsible motor vehicle use on the National Forest system because visitors will become more familiar with applicable laws, regulations, and policies. As the maps become widely read and frequently consulted by motorized recreationists, the Forest Service anticipates that incidents of natural and cultural resource damage, as well as trespasses into wilderness areas and private property, will be sharply reduced. The maps also have the potential to reduce facility damage and law enforcement costs. The maps' educational and safety information (which includes trail etiquette, route symbols, mixed use sharing, recommended OHV safety equipment, sound regulations, etc.) will also contribute to the enhancement of recreation experiences for all National Forest visitors.

#### C. Identification of Needs

The project addresses the need for easy-to-read maps of designated road and trail systems on the National Forests in California. The maps will reduce negative impacts to natural and cultural resources because they will increase the likelihood that motorized recreations will stay on designated routes by decreasing the likelihood of accidental or intentional deviations from those routes.

### D. Location of Training Services

The maps will be distributed through a variety of on-the-ground methods, including kiosks, visitor centers, and field personnel. Eventually, the maps will become managed under the Forest Service's Map Sales Program. Wide distribution of the maps will enhance the Forest Service's operational programs for visitor education, environmental impact reduction, and law enforcement.

Version # Page: 1 of 12

5/13/2010

#### E. OHV Safety, Environmental Responsibility, and Respect Private Property

The visitor-oriented maps contain a wide variety of educational and safety information, including sections that educate visitors about: (1) road and trail signage, including discussions of topics such as route symbols, route difficulty levels, and mix use sharing, (2) emergency contact information for local hospitals, law enforcement, and other emergency responders, (3) importance of respecting private property, seasonal designations, and special closures, (4) tread lightly concepts of environmetnal responsibility, (5) trail etiquette, yielding, and speed limits, (6) OHV requirements, including registration for green or red sickers, placement of stickers on OHVs, and non-resident permits, (7) OHV sound regulation and enforcement policies, including spark arresters, (8) safety information, including recommended OHV safety equipment, (9) State of California Regulations that apply to various age groups, and (10) camping locations, including site amenities, elevation, and number of camp sites.

Page: 2 of 12 Version #

## **Additional Documentation**

OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700427

- 1 Optional Project-Specific Application Documents
- 2 Optional Project-specific Maps

Version # Page: 3 of 12

## **Project Cost Estimate**

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	_		APP #		
APPLI	CANT NAME :	USFS - Pacific Southwest Region						
PROJE	ECT TITLE :	Visitor-oriented Guide Maps (FINAL)				PROJECT NUMBER (Division use only) :	G09-02-12-S01	
PROJECT TYPE :		☐ Acquisition	Development		<b>☑</b> Edu	ucation & Safety	Ground Opera	ations
		Law Enforcement	Planning		☐ Res	storation		
PROJE	ECT DESCRIPTION :	Regional Office staff, forest staff, and c vehicle guide maps. These maps will el Forests. The maps will contain several documents that define designated road proof and water-proof, color representa durability, readability, and content incre The Forest Service views visitor-oriente through a variety of on-the-ground meth Service's operational programs for visit Funding for this project will accomplish project will also include the printing of c exhausted, the visitor-friendly maps will	nable motor vehicle us visitor-friendly features and trail systems pursitions of both topograp ase the likelihood that ad maps as a critical conods, including kiosks, or education, environmore large batch of map	sers to navigate as that are not in suant to 36 C.F hy and recreating they will be wis component of the visitor centers, nental impact refers, which will be	the designation the designation of the content of t	mated system of roads and mo Motor Vehicle Use Maps (MVI I. These added features include unities, and educational/safety and frequently consulted by mo signation implementation procepersonnel. Wide distribution of and law enforcement. that are inherent in map creating of free of charge to the public.	otorized trails on vari JMs), which are the le a plastic material information. The ma otorized recreationis ess. The maps will the f the maps will enha on, such as layout a Once this initial bate	ous National legal enforcement that is both tear- aps' superior ts.  be distributed nce the Forest and design. The th is fully
	Line Item		Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIREC	T EXPENSES							
Progra	am Expenses							
1	Staff							
	Other-Graphic Design	ner	1.000	12000.000	EA	12,000.00	0.00	12,000.00
	Other-Cartographer		1.000	75500.000	EA	75,500.00	0.00	75,500.00
	Other-Project Manage	er	1.000	52000.000	EA	0.00	52,000.00	52,000.00
	Total for Staff					87,500.00	52,000.00	139,500.00
2	Contracts							

Version # Page: 4 of 12

# Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Pacific Southwest Region Application: Visitor-oriented Guide Maps (FINAL)

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
	Other-Printing	1.000	112500.000	EA	112,500.00	0.00	112,500.00
3	Materials / Supplies						
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
7	Indirect Costs						
	Indirect Costs-General indirect costs	1.000	20000.000	EA	0.00	20,000.00	20,000.00
Total I	Total Program Expenses				200,000.00	72,000.00	272,000.00
TOTAL	TOTAL DIRECT EXPENSES				200,000.00	72,000.00	272,000.00
TOTAL	OTAL EXPENDITURES				200,000.00	72,000.00	272,000.00

# Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Pacific Southwest Region Application: Visitor-oriented Guide Maps (FINAL)

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative
DIRE	ECT EXPENSES				
Prog	ıram Expenses				
1	Staff	87,500.00	52,000.00	139,500.00	
2	Contracts	112,500.00	0.00	112,500.00	
3	Materials / Supplies	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Equipment Use Expenses	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Others	0.00	0.00	0.00	
7	Indirect Costs	0.00	20,000.00	20,000.00	
Total Program Expenses		200,000.00	72,000.00	272,000.00	
тот	AL DIRECT EXPENSES	200,000.00	72,000.00	272,000.00	
тот	AL EXPENDITURES	200,000.00	72,000.00	272,000.00	

## **Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)**

	FOR	OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700427				
	TEM 1 and ITEM	2						
•	ITEM 1	_						
a.		CEQA Notice of Determi	nation (NOD) been	filed for the Project?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2							
b.	document prepa	ed Project include a req ration prior to implement oject pursuant to Section	ing the remaining P	roject Deliverables (i.e., is it	C	Yes	•	No
ı	TEM 3 - Project ι	ınder CEQA Guideline	s Section 15378					
C.	ITEM 3 - Are the (Please select Ye	• •	roject" under CEQA	Guidelines Section 15378?	C	Yes	•	No
d.	and ensure publi	-	s would not cause a	support to enforce OHV laws ny physical impacts on the ease select Yes or No)	C	Yes	•	No
e.	=	hy proposed activities w CEQA. DO NOT comp		physical impacts on the envi	ronme	ent and a	are 1	thus no
	No direct physica ground-disturbing	· · · · · ·	oment because the	creation and printing of map	s doe	s not inv	olve	e any
ı	TEM 4 - Impact o	f this Project on Wetla	nds					
ı	TEM 5 - Cumulat	ive Impacts of this Pro	ject					
I	TEM 6 - Soil Imp	acts						
ı	TEM 7 - Damage	to Scenic Resources						
I	TEM 8 - Hazardo	us Materials						
		of the California Govern		ny list compiled pursuant to ous materials)? (Please	C	Yes	C	No
		the location of the hazar e or avoid the hazards.	rd relative to the Pro	oject site, the level of hazard	and th	ne meas	ures	s to be
ı	TEM 9 - Potentia	I for Adverse Impacts t	to Historical or Cu	tural Resources				
		sed Project have potent ral resources? (Please		al adverse impacts to	C	Yes	C	No
	Discuss the pote resources.	ntial for the proposed Pr	roject to have any s	ubstantial adverse impacts to	histo	orical or	cultu	ural

Version # Page: 7 of 12

**ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts** 

**CEQA/NEPA Attachment** 

Version # Page: 8 of 12

## **Evaluation Criteria**

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700427
1.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 1.		
			espond to items 1	
	1			
	1.	Applicant is 3	ate, the percentag	e of the cost of the Project covered by the
		(Note: This field will auto-populate once to one from list)	he Cost Estimate	and Evaluation Criteria are Validated.) (Please select
		76% or more (10 points)		C 51% - 75% (5 points)
		© 26% - 50% (3 points)		© 25% (Match minimum) (No points)
2.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 2.		
	2.	For Applicant's OHV Grant Projects which the last two years, the percentage of all of		d of the Project performance period within mplished 3
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please 100% of Deliverable accomplished 75% to 99% of Deliverables accomplished Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished Less than 75% of Deliverables accomplished the Principle 100% of Deliverables accomplished 100% of Deliverables 100% of Deliverables accomplished 100% of Deliverables 100% of Deli	(5 points) plished (3 points) omplished (No po	
3.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 3. (FOR DIVISION	N USE ONLY)	
	3.	Previous Year Performance		
		(FOR DIVISION USE ONLY) (Check the	one most approp	riate) (Please select one from list)
		In the previous year the Applicant h OHMVR Grant Administrator by pho		ve and communicated effectively with the assigned sonal visit (3 points)
		First time Applicants and past Appli	cants with no act	ve Grant projects within the last two years (2 points)
		C In the previous year the Applicant h	as not been resp	onsive (No points)
4.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 4.		
	4.	The Project will utilize partnerships to su- organizations that will participate in the F		plish the Project. The number of partner
		(Check the one most appropriate.) (Pleat	se select one from	n list)
		C 4 or more (4 points)		C 2 to 3 (2 points)
		C 1 (1 point)		• None (No points)
		List partner organization(s)		

### 5. Evaluation Criteria - Q 5.

5. The Project addresses the following types of OHV Recreation 5

(Check all that apply.) Scoring: 1 point each (Please select applicable values)

Version # Page: 9 of 12

5/13/2010

		✓ ATV ✓ M.C.  ☐ Snowmobile ☐ Other (Specify)	<ul><li>✓ 4X4</li><li>✓ Recreation Utility Vehicle (RUV)</li><li>✓ Dune buggy, rail</li></ul>
6.	ı	Evaluation Criteria - Q 6.	
	6.	The Project was developed with public input employing th	e following 2
		(Check all that apply) Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maxim  ✓ Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to  Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 point)  ✓ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)	
		Explain each statement that was checked	
		Discussed maps at multiple OHV Commission Meetings	
7.		Evaluation Criteria - Q 7. (Education Project ONLY)	
•	7.	The Project incorporates the following, clearly identifiable	and/or measurable, elements 10
		(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)	
		Process of researching issues and audience (2 point	s)
		Objectives (2 points)	
		▼ Testing process to ensure actions are effective (2 po	ints)
		✓ Plan to implement the Project (2 points)	
		Explain each statement that was checked	
		Several Ranger Districts in Region 5 have already printed will incorporate many of the lessons learned (including tar leaders. The Forest Service will be responsive to the need the visitor-oriented maps through public input and adaptate	geting audiences for optimal distribution) from these ds of motorized recreationists by continually reassessing
8.	ı	Evaluation Criteria - Q 8. (Education Project ONLY)	
	8.	Total number of times individuals are exposed to the mes	sage 4
		(Check the one most appropriate.) (Please select one from	n list)
		© Greater than 10,000 (4 points)	1,000 to 10,000 (3 points)
		© 100 to 1,000 (2 points)	© 20 to 100 (1 point)
		© 0 to 20 (No points)	20 12 120 (1 pane)
		Explain checked statement:	
		This grant will pay for a large number of maps to be printe	d (note: it is difficult to give an exact number becasse
		printing costs fluctuate significantly; based on today's cost Given the maps superior durability, it is expected that they users.	ts, however, we expect to print approx. 100,000 maps).
9.	ı	Evaluation Criteria - Q 9. (Education Project ONLY)	
	9.	Total time a participant will have exposure to the Project's	message or training 1
		(Check the one item of highest point value that applies.) ( Greater than 2 hours (4 points)	Please select one from list)

Page: 10 of 12 Version #

5/13/2010

	C 1 hour to 2 hours (3 points)	
	5 minutes to less than 1 hour (2 points)	
	1 minute to less than 5 minutes (A Project for maps	will fall under this category) (1 point)
	C Less than 1 minute (No points)	
10.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 10. (Education Project ONLY)	
	10. The Project will utilize the following methods of education	n 10
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 point each up to a maxin	num of 14 points (Please select applicable values)
	✓ Hands on training	☐ Tool kits
	✓ Handouts	<b>▼</b> Events
	☐ Internet messaging/CDs	✓ Signage
	☐ Advertising	☐ Radio/TV
	☐ Community involvement	✓ Other (Specify) [kiosks]
	☐ Public relations/media	
	Explain each statement that was checked	
	The initial batch maps will be distributed (handed-out) for hand-on-training events.	free, placed at kiosks, and distributed at educational and
11.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 11. (Education Project ONLY)	
	11. The Project provides direct support for delivery of ATV Services Foundation training 0	afety Institute and/or Motorcycle Safety
	(Check the one most appropriate.) (Please select one from No (No points)	om list)  C Yes (2 points)
	Explain 'Yes' response	
12.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 12. & 13. (Safety Project ONLY)	
	12. The Project will utilize personnel trained to the following I	evel
	(Check the one most appropriate.) (Please select one from	om list)
	Emergency Medical Technician level, or higher (5 points)	First Responder level (2 points)
	First Aid and CPR (1 points)	No training (No points)
	13. The Project will provide search and rescue as follows	
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from	m list)
	24 hours, 7 days per week (5 points)	C Less than 24 hours, 7 days per week (4 points)
	C Less than 24 hours, less than 7 days per week (2 points)	C On special occasions/events only (No points)
13.	Evaluation Criteria - Q 14. (Safety Project ONLY)	
	14. The Project will have the majority of personnel trained in	the following areas
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points each up to a maxi	mum of 16 points (Please select applicable values)
	Radio communication	☐ Tracking skills
	Avalanche rescue	Navigation training
	☐ Swift water rescue	☐ ATV certification

Page: 11 of 12 Version #

Evaluation Criteria for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Applicant: USFS - Pacific Southwest Region Application: Visitor-oriented Guide Maps (FINAL)

5/13/2010

☐ Dog handling	☐ Motorcycle certification		
☐ Rope skills	4 x 4/Off-Road training		
☐ Wilderness search and rescue	Other (Specify)		
14. Evaluation Criteria - Q 15. (Safety Project 0	DNLY)		
15. The Project will have resources that are equipment conditions*	ipped and trained for rescue in the following environmental		
(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable values)			
□ Type 1 – Extreme Condition (including but not limited to): Altitude (generally 7000 feet+) or Snow, Ice, Desert, Heat, Heavy ground cover, Steep difficult terrain. (2 points)			
☐ Type 2 – Rugged Terrain. Rugged con Moderate to heavy ground cover. (2 po	ditions, Altitude (generally under 7000 feet), Heat, Cold concerns, pints)		
☐ Type 3 – Moderate/Gentle Terrain. Generate Agricultural areas. (2 points)	ntly rolling terrain, Open spaces, Maintained trailheads, and		
☐ Type 4 – Urban. High traffic, Urban offi (2 points)	ce complexes, man-made surfaces, Public interaction and Park trails.		
* From the Governor's Office of Emergeno	cy Services – Mutual Aid Guidelines, Search and Rescue, Off-		

Page: 12 of 12 Version #